

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,130	12/12/2003	C. Pat James	190250-1810	1894	
38823 7	590 09/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP/			CHARIOUI, MOHAMED		
BELLSOUTH	I.P. CORP	·			
100 GALLERI	A PARKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1750			2857		
ATLANTA, C	A 30339		DATE MAIL ED: 00/07/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$-\eta$		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/735,130	JAMES, C. PAT			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mohamed Charioui	2857			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-12,14-24 and 26-36</u> is/are pending i	in the application.				
,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>6,7,18 and 19</u> is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) 2-5, 8-12, 14-17, 20-24 and 26-36 is/a	are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		•		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 2003</u> is/a		ed to by the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· / ·			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,	` ').		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage			
* (application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad.			
`	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	.u.			
A.u. 1	w. >					
Attachmen	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(DTO 442)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/735,130 Page 2

Art Unit: 2857

1. The indicated allowability of claims 10-12, 22-24 and 34-36 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Schick et al. (U.S. 2004/0102872). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

2. Applicant cancelled claims 1, 13 and 25.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 2-5, 8-10, 14-17, 20-22, 26-29 and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staphanos et al. (U.S. 2002/0134083) in view of Melamed et al. (U.S. 2004/0107415) and Schick et al. (U.S. 2004/0102872).

As per claims 10, 22 and 34, Staphanos et al. teach monitoring logic operable monitor at least one AC output signal associated with an AC plant (see paragraph [0047]);

Staphanos et al. do not explicitly teach a web server logic coupled to the monitoring logic and to a network, the web server logic.

Melamed et al. teach this feature (see paragraphs [0046]; [0048]; and [0054]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Melamed et al.'s teaching into Staphanos et al. invention because the at least one AC output signal would be retrieved. Therefore, the user would

be able to remotely monitor and analyze the AC output signal to determine the operation conditions of the plant and take the necessary actions to prevent the plant's failure.

Staphanos et al. in view of Melamed et al. teach the system as stated above except that the web server is operable to receive an input from the user and instruct a commercial power plant failure to be simulated at a house service panel responsive to the input from the user.

Schick et al. teach this feature (see paragraphs [0026]; [0027]; and [0033]-[0035]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Schick et al.'s teaching into Staphanos et al. in view of Melamed et al. teaching because it would simulate the commercial power failure. Therefore, necessary actions would be taken to assure proper function of the plant.

As per claims 2-5, 14-17 and 26-29, Staphanos et al. further teach that the monitoring logic is further operable to monitor at least one fuel signal associated with a fuel monitor coupled to the AC plant (see paragraph [0047]).

As per claims 8, 9, 20, 21, 32 and 33, Staphanos et al. further teach that the user accesses the information using a remote computer with a browser client via the network (see paragraph [0046]).

4. Claims 11, 12, 23, 24, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Staphanos et al. in view of Melamed et al. and Schick et al. and further in view of Gregg et al. (U.S. 4,977,529).

Application/Control Number: 10/735,130 Page 4

Art Unit: 2857

Staphanos et al. in view of Melamed et al. and Schick et al. teach the system as stated above. Staphanos et al. further teach switching power source to a backup power source (see paragraph [0006]).

Staphanos et al. in view of Melamed et al. and Schick et al. do not teach turning on and off the AC plant.

Gregg et al. teach this feature (see col. 96, lines 20-35). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Gregg et al.'s teaching into Staphanos et al. in view of Melamed et al. and Schick et al.'s teaching because it to control turning off of the AC power plant. Therefore, necessary action would be taken to fix the cause of the power failure and assure the AC power plant proper functioning.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6, 7, 18 and 19 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none of the prior art of record teaches or suggests that the storage logic being operable to store at least one AC boundary point associated with the AC plant, at least one fuel boundary point associated with the fuel monitor, and at least one DC boundary point associated with the DC plant, wherein each of the at least one AC, fuel, and DC boundary points are provided to the user via the web server, in combination with the rest of the claim limitations.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/735,130

Art Unit: 2857

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-12, 13-24 and 26-36 have been

considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mohamed Charioui whose telephone number is (571)

272-2213. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, from 9 am

to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Marc S Hoff can be reached on (571) 272-2216. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohamed Charioui

8/24/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5